#### **ANNEX IV**

Legal entity identifier: 969500MW7YG6OFHPFS24

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Ycap Tactical Investment

Sustainable
investment means
an investment in an
economic activity
that contributes to
an environmental or
social objective,
provided that the
investment does not
significantly harm
any environmental
or social objective
and that the
investee companies

follow good

governance

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the

### Environmental and/or social characteristics





To what extent were environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are

attained.

The Sub-Fund promotes both environmental and social characteristics.

On the environmental front, the Sub-Fund promotes climate risk management. The social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund focus on global human rights and labour standards as well as diversity, equity and inclusion.

ESG analysis is systemically integrated into the investment decision making process. As part of its ESG framework, the Management Company uses the following sustainability indicators to measure the achievement of each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund:

- Absence of companies that do not meet the criteria defined in the Management Company's exclusion list,
- Controversy level assesment
- Compliance with the UN Global Compact,
- ESG Risk Scores.

#### How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The sustainability indicators yielded the following results:

- Absence of companies that do not meet the criteria defined in the management company's exclusion list and do not comply with the UN Global Compact.
- Regular monitoring of controversies around the companies in the portfolio led to the identification of a social risk on a company which was ultimately excluded from the portfolio. No major controversy arose on any invested company during the reference period.
- The ESG Risk Score of the portfolio increased from 25 to 26 (out of 100) between the end of the year 2022 (85% of the portfolio covered) and the end of 2023 (83% of the portfolio covered).

#### ...and compared to previous periods?

There was one company excluded from the portfolio compared to zero in the previous period. Not applicable to ESG Risk Score (2022 was the first year of implementation of the ESG policy, hence there was no progression of this ESG Risk Score within the calendar year 2022 to be compared to the evolution of this score within the year 2023).

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial to product partially made and how is the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not Applicable.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not Applicable.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Not Applicable.

 Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not Applicable.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and anti-

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



## How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

This financial product does not take into consideration consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors.



#### What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is: 29/12/2023.

| Largest investments                            | Sector                 | % Assets | Country of incorporation |
|--|------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| United States of America (Government Notes)    | Government             | 22,7%    | United States of America |
| French Republic<br>(Government Notes)          | Government             | 5,0%     | France                   |
| Microsoft Corp.                                | Information technology | 4,5%     | United States of America |
| iShares USD TIPS EUR hedged                    | Government             | 3,8%     | Ireland                  |
| Alphabet Inc. Class A                          | Communication services | 3,1%     | United States of America |
| Federal Republic of Germany (Government Notes) | Government             | 2,7%     | Germany                  |

The exposures shown in the table below are measured as of 12/29/2023 and may not be representative of the reference period.



#### What was the proportion of sustainability-related invetsments?

As of 29/12/2023, the proportion of sustainability-related investments was:

- 82,9% of investments promoting environmental and/or social characteristics,
- 0% of sustainable investments.

#### What was the asset allocation?

As of 29.12.2023 the asset allocation was the following (the percentages below should be understood as market values and not notional exposures):

- Listed equities: 46.3%


# Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

- Sovereign bonds: 34.3%

- ETFs: 6.4%

FX forwards: 3.0%Corporate bonds: 2.3%

Futures: 0.6%Cash: 7.1%



**#1** Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

**#2 Other** includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

#### In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The investments were made in the following economic sectors:

| Economic sector                   | Proportion |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Sovereign                         | 58.7%      |
| Pharmaceuticals                   | 4.5%       |
| Software                          | 3.9%       |
| Computers                         | 3.6%       |
| Internet                          | 3.4%       |
| Banks                             | 2.3%       |
| Diversified Financial Services    | 2.2%       |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing       | 2.0%       |
| Chemicals                         | 1.9%       |
| Semiconductors                    | 1.6%       |
| Healthcare Products               | 1.6%       |
| Biotechnology                     | 1.6%       |
| Commercial Services               | 1.5%       |
| Cosmetics/Personal Care           | 1.5%       |
| Apparel                           | 1.4%       |
| Auto Manufacturers                | 1.3%       |
| Electrical Components & Equipment | 1.3%       |
| REITS                             | 1.0%       |
| Food                              | 0.7%       |
| Transportation                    | 0.6%       |
| Water                             | 0.6%       |
| Telecommunications                | 0.6%       |

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for fossil gas include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

#### **Enabling activities**

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

## Transitional activities are

activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

are
sustainable
investments with an
environmental
objective that do
not take into
account the criteria
for environmentally
sustainable
economic activities
under Regulation
(EU) 2020/852.

| Beverages              | 0.6% |
|------------------------|------|
| Healthcare Services    | 0.5% |
| Environmental Controls | 0.4% |
| Oil & Gas              | 0.3% |
| Electric               | 0.3% |
| Machinery-Diversified  | 0.2% |
| Insurance              | 0.0% |



# To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-Fund does not commit to making sustainable investments. Therefore, the Sub-Fund does not commit to a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU taxonomy.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU taxonomy¹?

| Yes: |               |                  |
|------|---------------|------------------|
|      | In fossil gas | In nuclear energ |
| ✓ No |               |                  |

What was the share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?

The Fund does not commit to a minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous periods?

Not Applicable



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-Fund does not make sustainable investments.



#### What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The Sub-Fund does not make sustainable investments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Comission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



# What investments are included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

There are three categories of investments included under "#2 Other":

- Cash and cash equivalents: 7.1%. This cash is used to manage the liquidity of the financial product (bank deposits, eligible money market instruments and money market funds) that does not meet the criteria of article 8 or 9 of the SFDR regulation, nor does it correspond to the investment criteria aligned with ESG criteria.
- ETFs: 6.4%. ETFs with no minimum environmental or social guarantees (not classified as arcticle 8 or 9 according to their prospectus) enters in this category. These products are used to provide temporary diversified exposure to a specific factor or market segment.
- Derivatives (futures, swaps, fx forwards): 3.6%. Futures and swaps are used to manage the fund's overall market exposure in the most efficient way (mainly with respect to liquidity and trading costs). Fx forwards are used to hedge exposure to foreign exchange risk arising from investments in securities denominated in foreign currencies.

Environmental or social safeguards were assessed on all "other" assets except on (i) cash and cash equivalents (ii) UCIs which are not classified as arcticle 8 or 9 and which invest into a diversified set of issuers from various economic sectors (iii) non single name or single economic sector derivatives.



## What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

Actions taken to address environmental and/or social characteristics during the period include weekly monitoring of controversies and a forward-looking analysis of changes in the ESG risk scores of portfolio companies through the use of external extra-financial tools. This regular monitoring conducted to the exclusion of one issuer due to an accumulation of social controversies related to labour conditions.



#### How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

The Sub-Fund does not have a benchmark.

- How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?
  Not Applicable.
- How did this fincancial product perform with regard to the sustainability indactors to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not Applicable.

- How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?
  Not Applicable.
- How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?
  Not Applicable.

#### Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.